Case 2:22-cr-00249-TLN Document 20 Filed 03/06/23 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ADRIAN T. KINSELLA Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Engine in the (016) 554-2000	
2		
3		
4		
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	United States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED ST	ΓATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-0249-TLN
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
13	V.	FINDINGS AND ORDER
14	RONDELL CRAMER, DATE: March 9, 2023	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on March 9, 2023.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until April 20	
22	2023, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between March 9, 2023, and April 20, 2023, under Local Code	
23	T4.	
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:
25	a) The government has repre	sented that the discovery associated with this case
26	includes over 38 gigabytes of evidence in electronic form, including two forensic cellular phone	
27	extractions, police reports, investigative reports, criminal history records, photographs, and other	
28	evidence. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made	

available for inspection and copying.

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with her client, conduct investigation and research related to the case, to review the discovery, to discuss potential resolutions with her client, and to otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of March 9, 2023 to April 20, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Case 2:22-cr-00249-TLN Document 20 Filed 03/06/23 Page 3 of 3

4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the per must commence. IT IS SO STIPULATED.	_
Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period from the	_
 4 must commence. 5 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 6 	riod within which a trial
5 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 6	
6	
Dated: March 4, 2023 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
8	
9 /s/ ADRIAN T. KINSEL ADRIAN T. KINSELLA	
10 Assistant United States A	
11	
Dated: March 3, 2023 /s/ HANNAH R. LABAR	
HANNAH R. LABARER Counsel for Defendant	
14 RONDELL CRAMER	
15	
16	
ORDER	
18 IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 6 th day of March, 2023.	
19	2
20	Variable
21	lando
Troy L. Nunley United States Dist	rict Judge
23	C
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	